AN ALGORITHM FOR TREE-REALIZABILITY OF DISTANCE MATRICES*

VLADIMIR BATAGELJ and TOMAŽ PISANSKI†

University of Ljubljana, Yugoslavia

J. M. S. SIMÕES-PEREIRA

University of Coimbra, Portugal

(Received 4 September 1989; in final form 7 January 1990)

An algorithm for testing tree realizability of distance matrices is given. It is well-known that if a matrix D has a realization by a tree then such a realization is optimal and unique up to homeomorphism. Our algorithm produces a tree realization or a message that there is no such realization in time $O(n^2)$ where n is the number of points in a finite metric space with the distance matrix D. An $O(n^2)$ algorithm for computing distance matrix for a given tree is also given.

KEY WORDS Distance matrix, tree-realizability, complexity

C.R. CATEGORIES: E.1, F.2.2, G.2.2.

Math. Subj. Class. (1985): 05 C

1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of this note is to present a fast algorithm to check whether a distance matrix is tree-realizable and, if it is, to construct such a realization. Tree realizations of distance matrices, when they exist, are optimal and unique [3, 4].

Tree realizable distance matrices were characterized in [5] and, for the integer case, in [9]. From an algorithmic point of view, the time complexity of such a characterization is quadratic in n where n is the order of the matrix.

Graph realizations of distance matrices and, in particular, tree realizations, have spurred a lot of research; the topic has applications in many areas of pure and applied mathematics as the sample of papers we quote [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9] clearly shows.

We recall that a distance matrix of order n, denoted D, is a nonnegative, symmetric, square matrix whose entries d_{ij} are such that, for $i,j,k\in\{1,2,\ldots,n\}$, $d_{ii}=0$, $d_{ij}\neq 0$ for $i\neq j$, and $d_{ij}\leq d_{ik}+d_{kj}$. A graph G=(W,E) with lengths assigned to its edges realizes D if there is a subset V of the vertex set W of G, with |V|=n and

^{*}This paper was presented at the SIAM Conference on Discrete Mathematics in San Francisco, May 1988.

[†]Supported in part by the Research Council of Slovenia, Yugoslavia.

such that, for $i,j \in V$ the length d(i,j) of the shortest path between i and j equals d_{ij} .

An optimal graph realization is one with total length minimum among all realizations. Vertices in V are called *main*, those in $W \setminus V$, *auxiliary*.

When we subtract from the nondiagonal entries in the *i*th row and in the *i*th column of D the nonnegative, real number a, we obtain a new matrix, written $D_i(a)$, which is also a distance matrix when

$$a \leq a_{\min}(i) = \min_{p,r \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i\}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (d_{pi} + d_{ir} - d_{pr}) \right\}$$

The operation which obtains $D_i := D_i(a_{\min}(i))$ from D is called a *compactification*. When, for some $k(\neq i)$, $a_{\min}(i) = d_{ik}$, then, by the minimality of $a_{\min}(i)$, we obtain, for every $q \neq i$,

$$d_{ik} + d_{iq} - d_{kq} \ge d_{ik} + d_{ik} - d_{kk} = 2d_{ik}$$

hence $d_{iq} \ge d_{kq} + d_{ik}$, hence $d_{iq} = d_{ik} + d_{kq}$; in such case we say that i is pendant from k in D. To avoid nondiagonal zero entries, we then remove duplicated parallel lines in D_i , thereby decreasing by one the order of the matrix. This operation is called *reduction*.

We know [6, 8] how to obtain an optimal realization G of D from an optimal realization G' of D_i :

If D_i is a compactification of D, the vertex i of G' becomes auxiliary, is renamed and linked by a new (pendant) edge of length $a_{\min}(i)$ to a new main vertex i;

If D_i is a reduction of D, the main vertex k of G' is linked by a new (pendant) edge of length $a_{\min}(i)$ to a new main vertex i. It follows immediately from the definitions that D is tree-realizable if and only if it yields the trivial matrix [0] of order 1 by successive compactifications and reductions.

2. THE ALGORITHM

2.1 Data Structures

D = Initially an n by n distance matrix for which we have to test tree-realizability. At the end it is expanded to an m by m distance matrix of a tree with (m-n) auxiliary vertices if the test turns out positive.

Tr = An m array that we build gradually and in which we store the tree structure. For instance, vertex i is adjacent to vertex Tr[i].

2.2 Comments

The basic step of the algorithm takes a vertex k which is not yet a part of the tree Tr and attaches the vertex to the partially built tree Tr. There are six possible outcomes of this step.

a) D turns out to be nontree-realizable.

- b) k becomes a leaf in the tree and is attached to an existing vertex a of the tree.
- c) Same as case (b) but the distance D[k, a] turns out to be 0. We do not add vertex k.
- d) k becomes a leaf in the tree and is attached to an edge, say from a to b. To this end the edge is subdivided and k is in fact attached to the newly constructed auxiliary vertex c. In this case D is expanded for another row and column that correspond to the vertex c.
- e) Same as case (d) but it turns out that c already exists. It belongs to the part of original D that has not been examined yet.
- f) Same as (d) but it turns out that D[k, c] = 0. There is no need to introduce an auxiliary vertex.

Essentially we are performing inverse operations to compactifications and reductions.

2.3 Outline of the Algorithm

- 1. O(1) Initialize. The tree Tr contains a single vertex 1. Let Tr[1]:=1. Set up a stack link of vertices in the tree. Let m:=n. The vertices will only be added to (and never removed from) the stack. The last entry in the stack is the root.
- 2. O(n) For k = 2, 3, ..., n repeat
- 2.1. O(m) For each vertex a from the stack link repeat
- 2.1.1. O(1) Let b = Tr[a]. Let x := (D[a, b] + D[b, k] D[a, k])/2. The value x tells us where on the edge from a to b (at the distance x from b) we have to attach our vertex k.
- 2.1.2. O(1) If x < 0 or x > D[a, b] then D is not a distance matrix. Stop.
- 2.1.3. O(1) If $x \neq 0$ Exit
- 2.2. O(1) If 0 < x < D[a, b] then
- 2.2.1. O(1) Let p := m + 1
- 2.2.2. O(m) For each vertex c := 1, ..., m repeat
- 2.2.2.1. O(1) Let D[p,c] := (D[a,c] + D[c,b] D[a,b] + |D[a,k] + D[b,c] D[k,b] D[c,a]|/2
- 2.2.2.2. O(1) If D[p,c] = 0 then Let p := c; Exit
- 2.2.3. O(1) If p=m+1 or p=k then we have to introduce a new vertex p that lies on the edge from a to b. Modify the tree Tr accordingly.
- 2.2.4. O(1) If p = m + 1 then Let m := m + 1
- 2.2.5. O(1) Let a := p.
- 2.3. O(1) If $k \neq a$ then attach k to the vertex a. Modify the tree Tr.
- 3. Check whether augmented D is indeed the distance matrix for the tree Tr.

Note: If x=0 in the Step 2.1.3 it means that k has to be attached to the tree at or

above vertex b. The order in which the **For** loop is performed in Step 2.1. is important. Namely for each vertex $b \neq root$ of a tree the edge from b to Tr[b] has to be examined only after all edges from a to b = Tr[a] are examined.

3. THE TIME COMPLEXITY OF THE ALGORITHM

Let n be the size of original distance matrix and let m be the size of final distance matrix. This means that there are n main vertices and m-n auxiliary vertices. It is easy to see that the time complexity of the algorithm is $O(n^2)$. We prove this as follows. We may assume that the matrix is tree realizable. If not the algorithm would terminate sooner and the worst case is not attained.

Lemma The number of auxiliary vertices is at most n-2.

Proof Each auxiliary vertex is of degree at least 3. In a tree we have: #edges = m-1. And we also have

2 #edges =
$$\sum$$
 degrees $\ge 3(m-n) + n$

Hence
$$2(m-1) \ge 3(m-n) + n = 3m-2n$$
 which is equivalent to $2n-2 \ge m$. Q.E.D.

This means that $O(n^k) = O(m^k)$ for each k, and in particular $O(m^2) = O(n^2)$. When we wrote the algorithm we already indicated time complexity for each partial step. Now we only have to combine them accordingly in order to determine the overall time complexity Time = T(Step1) + T(Step2) + T(Step3).

```
T(Step1) = O(1).
  T(Step2) = (n-1)(T(Step2.1) + T(Step2.2) + T(Step2.3))
     T(Step 2.1) = O(m)(T(Step 2.1.1) + T(Step 2.1.2.) + T(Step 2.1.3))
       T(Step 2.1.1) = O(1)
       T(Step 2.1.2.) = O(1)
       T(Step 2.1.3) = O(1)
    T(Step 2.1) = O(m)
     T(Step 2.2) = O(1) +
       T(Step 2.2.1) + T(Step 2.2.2) + T(Step 2.2.3) + T(Step 2.2.4) + T(Step 2.2.5)
       T(Step 2.2.1) = O(1)
       T(Step 2.2.2) = O(m)
       T(Step 2.2.3) = O(1)
       T(Step 2.2.4) = O(1)
       T(Step 2.2.5) = O(1)
    T(Step 2.2) = O(m)
     T(Step 2.3) = O(1)
\Rightarrow T(Step2) = O(n)(O(m) + O(m) + O(1)) = O(nm) = O(m^2) = O(n^2)
  T(Step3) = O(n^2)
```

Therefore $Time = O(1) + O(n^2) + O(n^2) = O(n^2)$. This shows that our algorithm is indeed quadratic.

We have made experimental tests of our algorithm. First we randomly generated a tree, with a given number of vertices, and its matrix. Then we calculated its distance matrix. Afterwards we selected a principal submatrix that we used as input to our algorithm. Clearly each matrix was tree-realizable. The experimental results also show the quadratic behaviour of the algorithm.

For testing purposes we developed a special algorithm which computes the distance matrix of a given tree. This algorithm is also quadratic and therefore more efficient than standard algorithms for general graphs.

4. AN ALGORITHM FOR COMPUTING DISTANCE MATRIX FOR A GIVEN TREE

Given a tree Tr on n vertices with distances on edges already stored in matrix D we compute distance matrix D in time $O(n^2)$. The distance matrix D is symmetric (D[i,j] = D(j,i]).

It is assumed that i = Tr[i] if and only if i = root. We are using an auxiliary level array L[i] that represents the number of vertices on the path towards the root of the tree (L[root] = 1). Initially all L[i] are undefined. We need a recursive function Lv(i) with side effect for calculating L[i]; and another recursive function dist(i,j) (=dist(j,i)) for calculating D[i,j]:

$$Lv(i) = L[i] = \begin{array}{cc} L[i] & L[i] \text{ is defined} \\ 1 & i = root \\ 1 + Lv(Tr[i]) & \text{otherwise} \end{array}$$

$$dist(i,j) = D[i,j] = D[i,j] = D[i,j] \text{ is defined}$$

$$dist(i,j) = D[i,j] = D[i,j] \text{ is defined}$$

$$dist(i,Tr[i]) + dist(Tr[i],j) \text{ otherwise}$$

The algorithm is now simple

For
$$i=1,2,...,n$$
 repeat
For $j=i+1,2,...,n$ repeat $D\lceil i,j \rceil := dist(i,j)$

Note: Just before submitting this manuscript for publication we learned that J. C. Culberson and P. Rudnicki [2] obtained quite similar results. They also attribute the first $O(n^2)$ algorithm for tree-realizability to F. T. Boesch [1].

References

- [1] F. T. Boesch, Properties of the distance matrix of a tree. Q. Appl. Math. 26 (1968), 607-609.
- [2] J. C. Culberson and P. Rudnicki, A fast algorithm for constructing trees from distance matrices. Information Processing Letters 30 (1989), 215–220.
- [3] S. L. Hakimi and S. S. Yau, Distance matrix of a graph and its realizability, Quart. Appl. Math. 22 (1964-65), 305-317.
- [4] W. Imrich, J. M. S. Simões-Pereira and C. M. Zamfirescu, On optimal embeddings of metrics in graphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 36 (1984), 1–15.

- [5] J. M. S. Simões-Pereira, A. note on the tree realizability of a distance matrix, J. Combin. Theory 6 (1969), 303-310.
- [6] J. M. S. Simões-Pereira, A note on optimal and suboptimal digraph realizations of quasidistance matrices, SIAM J. Algebraic and Discrete Methods 5 (1984), 117–132.
- [7] J. M. S. Simões-Pereira, A note on distance matrices with unicyclic graph realizations, Discrete Math. 65 (1987), 277–287.
- [8] J. M. S. Simões-Pereira and C. M. Zamfirescu, Submatrices of nontree-realizable distance matrices, Linear Algebra Appl. 44 (1982), 1–17.
- [9] K. A. Zaretzkii, Constructing a tree on the basis of a set of distances between the hanging vertices, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk.* **20** (1965), 90–92, in Russian.